

Preparing Single-cell DNA Library Using Nextera for Detection of CNV

Larry Xi*, Patrick Leong and Aleksandar Mihajlovic

Digenomix Corp, South San Francisco, CA 94080, USA

*For correspondence: lxixi@digenomix.net

[Abstract] Single-cell DNA sequencing is a powerful tool to evaluate the state of heterogeneity of heterogeneous tissues like cancer in a quantitative manner that bulk sequencing can never achieve. DOP-PCR (Degenerate Oligonucleotide-Primed Polymerase Chain Reaction), MDA (Multiple Displacement Amplification), MALBAC (Multiple Annealing and Looping-Based Amplification Cycles), LIANTI (Linear Amplification via Transposon Insertion) and TnBC (Transposon Barcoded) have been the primary choices to prepare single-cell libraries. TnBC library prep method is a simple and versatile methodology, to detect copy number variations or to obtain the absolute copy numbers of genes per cell.

Keywords: Single-cell, Transposon, Nextera, Copy number variation, Shallow sequencing

[Background] Bulk DNA sequencing, although being widely used nowadays, has been proven to be inadequate in analysis of heterogeneous systems, such as cancer tissues, which contain cancer cells of genetic aberrations at various degrees among normal cells with little or no genetic aberrations. Noncancerous cells can contribute a significant portion of the total DNA extracted from tumors, potentially masking important genetic aberrations (Alioto *et al.*, 2015). Even when normal cells are removed, bulk sequencing of cancerous cells still averages out both the heterogeneity of cancerous cells in a tumor tissue and genomic instability over time (Yang *et al.*, 2013; Francis *et al.*, 2014). Single-cell DNA sequencing is believed to be the only method to reveal unequivocally the dynamics of mutations of tumor cell subpopulations in detail over space and time (Navin, 2015). Copy number variations (CNV) is under-detected in bulk sequencing, while they are found to be early events in tumorigenesis (Navin, 2015).

In the past years, several single-cell library preparation methods have been reported, which include DOP-PCR (Baslan and Hicks, 2014), MDA (Fan *et al.*, 2011), MALBAC (Zong *et al.*, 2012), LIANTI (Chen *et al.*, 2017) and TnBC (Xi *et al.*, 2017). Due to the fact that the minute amount of DNA from a single cell is not sufficient for NGS directly for most purposes, the single-cell genome needs to be amplified. Reflecting this requirement, almost all single-cell library preparation methodologies are named after an amplification method. As amplification is involved, the biases and errors associated with amplification inevitably need to be addressed (Xi, 2018). Biased amplification will require deeper sequencing to gain coverages. In an extreme case, under-amplified regions can be falsely identified as deletions. Amplification errors introduced by polymerases may overwhelm authentic mutations, which adds difficulty in mutation-calls. As TnBC methodology employs unique fragment index (UFI), it can handle amplification biases and polymerase-introduced errors better than other methods do (Xi *et al.*, 2017; Xi, 2018).

An engineered Mu transposase was used in our original paper of TnBC library preparation (Xi *et al.*, 2017). Since preparing custom-made transposases is technically demanding and time-consuming, here we report a protocol that utilizes Nextera, a commercially available Tn5 transposase. This protocol is intended to obtain single cell libraries that will be good for CNV detection through shallow sequencing. Due to the proof-reading DNA polymerase that is used in our library amplification, the error rate can be significantly smaller than that from DOP-PCR, MALBAC, or LIANTI. Therefore, the aggregate of sequences from multiple single cells can be used to detect global SNV of the source tissue of the single cells (Knouse *et al.*, 2016).

Materials and Reagents

1. Pipette tips
2. 96-well plate
3. Read1: TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG (suggested source: custom order from IDT)
4. Read2: GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG (suggested source: custom order from IDT)
5. TBE buffer: Make 1x from 10x TBE (suggested source: Tris-borate-EDTA Buffer from Fisher Scientific, catalog number: B52)
6. Nextera DNA Library Preparation Kit (24 samples) (suggested source: Illumina, catalog number: FC-121-1030)
7. Nextera Index Kit (96 Indices) (suggested source: Illumina, catalog number: FC-121-1012)
8. Phusion Hot Start II High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher, catalog number: F565L)
9. UltraPure™ 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0 (suggested source: Thermo Fisher, catalog number: 15575020)
Note: Make 10 fold dilution as working stock (100 mM).
10. 20x EvaGreen (Biotium, catalog number: 31000)
11. Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckmen Courtier, catalog number: A63882)
12. Proteinase K, Molecular Biology Grade (New England Biolabs, catalog number: P81075)
13. Chymostatin (5 mg) (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: C7268-5MG)
Note: Dissolve in 0.83 ml of DMSO to make 6 mg/ml stock solution.
14. Double-distilled H₂O (ddH₂O)
15. DMSO (Dimethyl sulfoxide)
16. Ethanol, freshly make 75% ethanol for Agencourt AMPure XP bead washes
17. Tris-HCl, 1 M, pH 8 (suggested source: Thermo Fisher, catalog number: AM9856)
18. MgCl₂, 1 M (suggested source: Thermo Fisher, catalog number: AM9530G), or equivalent
19. DMF (Dimethylformamide)
20. CLOROX bleach
21. 5x Transposase buffer (see Recipes)

Equipment

1. Pipettes
2. DNA-free hood
3. Veriti™ 96-Well Thermal Cycler (Thermo Fisher, catalog number: 4375786)
4. QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher)
5. DynaMag™-96 Side Magnet (Thermo Fisher, catalog number: 12331D)
6. Agilent BioAnalyzer

Procedure

1. This library prep procedure starts with a 96-well plate with each well containing one cell in 5 μ l TBE or ddH₂O. (Single cells can be dispensed with a cell sorter according to manuals of manufacturers or by manual means [Knouse *et al.*, 2017]). The overall workflow is shown in the table below:

Steps	Key components
Break cell open, remove histones	Proteinase K
Inactivate Proteinase K	Heat, Proteinase K inhibitor
Tagment single cell genome	Transposon
Remove transposase	Proteinase K
Inactivate Proteinase K	Heat
Nick translate and PCR	Phusion master mix
Remove unincorporated primers	AMPure beads
Barcode through PCR	Phusion master mix
Remove unincorporated primers	AMPure beads
QC	BioAnalyzer

2. To each of the well, add 3 μ l solution that contains 1 μ l of 5x Transposase buffer, 0.5 μ l of Proteinase K (NEB), and 1.5 μ l of H₂O. Spin down to make sure that the protease solution enters cell suspension. Incubate the suspension at 55 °C for 5 h to break the cell open and remove histone proteins, and then 65 °C for 20 min to denature Proteinase K.
3. Add 1 μ l of 1.5 mg/ml Chymostatin to each well, spin down, incubate at room temperature for 10 min to inactivate remaining Proteinase K activity.
4. Add 1.5 μ l solution that contains 1 μ l of 5x Transposase buffer and 0.5 μ l of Tagment DNA Enzyme (TDE) from Illumina's Nextera DNA Library Preparation Kit. Spin down.
5. Keep the tagmentation reaction at 55 °C for 20 min.
6. Add 1 μ l solution that contains 0.5 μ l of 100 mM EDTA and 0.5 μ l of Proteinase K. Vortex and spin down. Incubate at 37 °C for 1 h and then 65 °C for 20 min.
7. To each well, add 12.5 μ l of 2x Hot-start Phusion Master Mix and 1.25 μ l of 100 μ M each of Read1 and Read2. Mix well, and run the following PCR protocols: 65 °C for 30 min and 95 °C

for 2 min followed by 6 cycles between 15 s at 98 °C and 30 min at 65 °C, plus 7 cycles between 15 s at 98 °C and 3 min at 65 °C. The thermal cycling protocol is summarized in the table below:

Stage	Cycle	Temperature	Duration	Intended Goal
I	1	65 °C	30 min	Nick translation (see Notes)
II	1	95 °C	2 min	Fully activation of polymerase
III	6	98 °C	15 s	Amplification
		65 °C	30 min	
IV	7	98 °C	15 s	
		65 °C	3 min	

8. After PCR, add 45 µl AMPure beads to purify the PCR product according to the manufacturer's instruction. Elute in 10 µl ddH₂O and purify with 18 µl of AMPure beads, and then elute in 10 µl ddH₂O. Detailed steps are listed in the table below:

Step	Operation	Duration	Intended Goal
1)	Add 45 µl AMPure beads to each well that contains PCR product in a 96-well plate.		Binding PCR products to beads
2)	Vortex the plate to mix beads with PCR products.	30 s	
3)	Leave plates on the bench.	3 min	
4)	Put the 96-well plate on DynaMag™-96 Side Magnet.	3 min	Separate DNA-bound bead from the aqueous phase
5)	Withdraw the liquid phase while the 96-well plate is on the magnetic and discard the liquid.		Wash beads
6)	Add 50 µl of 75% alcohol to each well, let the plate sit on the magnetic.	1 min	
7)	Withdraw the liquid phase while the plate is on the magnetic and discard the liquid.		
8)	Repeat 6) – 7) once.		
9)	Air dry the bead by leaving the plate uncovered.	30 s	
10)	Add 10 µl ddH ₂ O to re-suspend beads. Pipet bead slurry up and down 10 times.		Elution
11)	Leave the plate on the bench.	1 min	
12)	Put 96-well plate on DynaMag™-96 Side Magnet.	1 min	
13)	Withdraw the liquid phase while the 96-well plate is on the magnetic and transfer the liquid phase to a new plate.		
14)	Add 18 µl AMPure beads to each well of once-purified amplified library in a 96-well plate of 13)		Cleaning for the second time.
15)	Repeat 3)-13).		

9. Use 2.5 µl from last step in 20 µl sample-barcoding PCR which contains 1x Hot-start Phusion Master Mix, 1x EvaGreen, 1 µM each of 5xx and 7xx barcodes for Illumina sequencing, one

unique pair for each cell. Amplification is carried out by heating at 95 °C for 2 min, then followed by 8 cycles between 15 s at 98 °C and 3 min at 65 °C, Reactions is optionally monitored at 65 °C. The thermal cycling protocol is summarized in the table below:

Stage	Cycle	Temperature	Duration	Action
II	1	95 °C	2 min	
III	8	98 °C	15 s	
		65 °C	30 min	Read fluorescence

10. Use 36 µl of AMPure beads to purify barcoded product according to manufacturer's instruction, and elute in 10 µl ddH₂O.
11. Take 1 µl for analyses on BioAnalyzer.
12. If both the profile and the yield meet the requirements (see Notes), it is ready for sequencing using Illumina's system.

Notes

1. It is critical to avoid contamination and cross-contamination for single-cell operations (Knouse *et al.*, 2017). We use DNA-free hood when pipetting, and eject and submerge pipette tips immediately into about 1% bleach water to minimize the chance of cross-contamination.
2. Saturated transposition (Step 5) is critical for the procedure (Xi *et al.*, 2017). Saturated transpositions minimize sequence bias of transposase.
3. Nick translation (Step 7) is necessary to generate "tags" that the libraries can be amplified. The polymerase activity from Hot-start Phusion Master Mix is leaky enough at 65 °C to accomplish the task based on the instruction of the manufacturer.
4. We use EvaGreen to monitor the amplification of every single-cell library in barcoding reactions (Step 9). A significant increase in fluorescence starts at Cycle 4.
5. We analyze every single cell library on BioAnalyzer (Step 11). We use the profiles to evaluate our procedures. First, the concentration of the library reflects (1) the quantity of starting DNA and (2) amplification efficiency. Starting from 6 pg of DNA, we expect the concentration is at least 10 nM for a library of 10 µl in volume. Using together with the real-time PCR amplification curves, we can optimize cell lysis step and amplification. Second, we expect the profile of the library that is constructed under saturated transposition to look like what is shown in the left panel of Figure 1. This profile is different from what is recommended by Illumina for bulk sequencing. The fragment size is best to range between 170 to 350 base pairs (Xi *et al.*, 2017). Several factors may lead to flat profiles as shown in the right panel of Figure 1: (1) insufficient removal of histone proteins, (2) insufficient amount of transposase, (3) incomplete denaturing of Proteinase K in Steps 2 and 3, leading to degrading of transposase in Step 5, (4) incomplete removal of transposons in Step 6. Larger fragments have relatively lower efficiencies to be sequenced by Illumina's systems (Xi *et al.*, 2017), leading to undercounting in these regions.




Figure 1. Using BioAnalyzer to examine if transposition is saturated (left panel) or unsaturated (right panel) in addition to the quantitation

- After BioAnalyzer analysis, the concentration of the library can be adjusted for next-gen sequencing. A sequencing depth of 0.5%x to 5%x of genome is good for CNV analysis. The resolution (*i.e.*, bin size) depends on the number of unique fragments (counts) per bin. To obtain statistically sound copy number call, we use at least 50 counts per bin. For example, if the intended resolution is 1,000 kilobases, for a library with the average insert size of 100 bases, the minimal coverage = $(50 \text{ counts} \times 100 \text{ bases})/1,000,000 = 0.5\%$. If the resolution is set to be 100 kilobases, the minimal coverage = $(50 \text{ counts} \times 100 \text{ bases})/100,000 = 5\%$.
- We have used the protocol on single cells of K562 cell line, BJ, human lymphocyte GM01202 cell line, human intestine cells, human skin cells, mouse skin cells, and mouse brain cells.

Recipes

- 5x Transposase buffer (Picelli *et al.*, 2014)
50 mM Tris-HCl, 25 mM MgCl₂, 50% (v/v) DMF (pH 8.0) at 25°C

Competing interests

Digenomix is commercializing the technology.

References

- Alioto, T. S., Buchhalter, I., Derdak, S., Hutter, B., Eldridge, M. D., Hovig, E., Heisler, L. E., Beck, T. A., Simpson, J. T., Tonon, L., Sertier, A. S., Patch, A. M., Jager, N., Ginsbach, P., Drews, R., Paramasivam, N., Kabbe, R., Chotewutmontri, S., Diessl, N., Previti, C., Schmidt, S., Brors, B.,

- Feuerbach, L., Heinold, M., Grobner, S., Korshunov, A., Tarpey, P. S., Butler, A. P., Hinton, J., Jones, D., Menzies, A., Raine, K., Shepherd, R., Stebbings, L., Teague, J. W., Ribeca, P., Giner, F. C., Beltran, S., Raineri, E., Dabad, M., Heath, S. C., Gut, M., Denroche, R. E., Harding, N. J., Yamaguchi, T. N., Fujimoto, A., Nakagawa, H., Quesada, V., Valdes-Mas, R., Nakken, S., Vodak, D., Bower, L., Lynch, A. G., Anderson, C. L., Waddell, N., Pearson, J. V., Grimmond, S. M., Peto, M., Spellman, P., He, M., Kandoth, C., Lee, S., Zhang, J., Letourneau, L., Ma, S., Seth, S., Torrents, D., Xi, L., Wheeler, D. A., Lopez-Otin, C., Campo, E., Campbell, P. J., Boutros, P. C., Puente, X. S., Gerhard, D. S., Pfister, S. M., McPherson, J. D., Hudson, T. J., Schlesner, M., Lichter, P., Eils, R., Jones, D. T. and Gut, I. G. (2015). [A comprehensive assessment of somatic mutation detection in cancer using whole-genome sequencing](#). *Nat Commun* 6: 10001.
2. Baslan, T. and Hicks, J. (2014). [Single cell sequencing approaches for complex biological systems](#). *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 26: 59-65.
 3. Chen, C., Xing, D., Tan, L., Li, H., Zhou, G., Huang, L. and Xie, X. S. (2017). [Single-cell whole-genome analyses by Linear Amplification via Transposon Insertion \(LIANTI\)](#). *Science* 356(6334): 189-194.
 4. Fan, H. C., Wang, J., Potanina, A. and Quake, S. R. (2011). [Whole-genome molecular haplotyping of single cells](#). *Nat Biotechnol* 29(1): 51-57.
 5. Francis, J. M., Zhang, C. Z., Maire, C. L., Jung, J., Manzo, V. E., Adalsteinsson, V. A., Homer, H., Haidar, S., Blumenstiel, B., Pedomallu, C. S., Ligon, A. H., Love, J. C., Meyerson, M. and Ligon, K. L. (2014). [EGFR variant heterogeneity in glioblastoma resolved through single-nucleus sequencing](#). *Cancer Discov* 4(8): 956-971.
 6. Knouse, K. A., Wu, J. and Amon, A. (2016). [Assessment of megabase-scale somatic copy number variation using single-cell sequencing](#). *Genome Res* 26(3): 376-384.
 7. Knouse, K. A., Wu, J. and Hendricks, A. (2017). [Detection of copy number alterations using single cell sequencing](#). *J Vis Exp*(120). Doi: 10.3791/55143.
 8. Navin, N. E. (2015). [Delineating cancer evolution with single-cell sequencing](#). *Sci Transl Med* 7(296): 296fs229.
 9. Picelli, S., Bjorklund, A. K., Reinius, B., Sagasser, S., Winberg, G. and Sandberg, R. (2014). [Tn5 transposase and tagmentation procedures for massively scaled sequencing projects](#). *Genome Res* 24(12): 2033-2040.
 10. Xi, L., Belyaev, A., Spurgeon, S., Wang, X., Gong, H., Aboukhalil, R. and Fekete, R. (2017). [New library construction method for single-cell genomes](#). *PLoS One* 12(7): e0181163.
 11. Xi, L. (2018). [Single-Cell DNA Sequencing: From Analog to Digital](#). *Cancer Research Frontiers*. 3(1): 161-169.
 12. Yang, L., Luquette, L. J., Gehlenborg, N., Xi, R., Haseley, P. S., Hsieh, C. H., Zhang, C., Ren, X., Protopopov, A., Chin, L., Kucherlapati, R., Lee, C. and Park, P. J. (2013). [Diverse mechanisms of somatic structural variations in human cancer genomes](#). *Cell* 153(4): 919-929.
 13. Zong, C., Lu, S., Chapman, A. R. and Xie, X. S. (2012). [Genome-wide detection of single-nucleotide and copy-number variations of a single human cell](#). *Science* 338(6114): 1622-1626.