Visualization of Nitric Oxide, Measurement of Nitrosothiols Content, Activity of NOS and NR in Wheat Seedlings
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[Abstract] Nitric oxide (NO), is a redox-active, endogenous signalling molecule involved in the regulation of numerous processes. It plays a crucial role in adaptation and tolerance to various abiotic and biotic stresses. In higher plants, NO is produced—either by enzymatic or non-enzymatic reduction of nitrite-and an oxidative pathway requiring a putative nitric oxide synthase (NOS)-like enzyme. There are several methods to measure NO production: mass spectrometry, tissue localization by DAF-FM dye. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) also known as electron spin resonance (ESR) and spectrophotometric assays. The activity of NOS can be measured by L-citrulline based assay and spectroscopic method (NADPH utilization method). A major route for the transfer of NO bioactivity is S-nitrosylation, the addition of a NO moiety to a protein cysteine thiol forming an S-nitrosothiol (SNO). This experimental method describes visualization of NO using DAF-FM dye by fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss AXIOSKOP 2). The whole procedure is simplified, so it is easy to perform but has a high sensitivity for NO detection. In addition, spectrophotometry based protocols for assay of NOS, Nitrate Reductase (NR) and the content of S-nitrosothiols are also described. These spectrophotometric protocols are easy to perform, less expensive and sufficiently sensitive assays which provide adequate information on NO based regulation of physiological processes depending on the treatments of interest.
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[Background] Nitric oxide (NO) is emerging as a key regulator of diverse plant cellular processes like regulating synthesis of the cell wall (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2008; Xiong et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2015), ROS metabolism in plants (Delledonne et al., 2001), gene expression and regulation (Bogdan et al., 2000), programmed cell death (de Pinto et al., 2002), maturation and senescence (Yaacov et al., 1998). NO exerts a crucial role in protecting plants against various abiotic stresses (Hung et al., 2002). NO could significantly enhance antioxidative capacity by increasing the activities of catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and accumulating proline during wheat seed germination under osmotic stress (Zhang et al., 2003). A major route for the transfer of NO bioactivity is S-nitrosylation, the addition of a NO moiety to a protein cysteine thiol forming an S-nitrosothiol (SNO). Total cellular levels of protein S-nitrosylation are controlled predominantly by S-nitrosoglutathione reductase 1 (GSNOR1) which turns...
Nitric oxide (NO) production in land plants classically involves two main routes: first, a reductive pathway involving both enzymatic and non-enzymatic reduction of nitrite into NO (Gupta et al., 2011); second, an oxidative pathway requiring a putative nitric oxide synthase (NOS)-like enzyme. Role of NR in NO production was suspected by low or no NR activity mutants which show no measurable NO. Later *nia1/nia2* double mutants of *Arabidopsis* confirmed the role of NR in reduction of NO₂ to NO in NADH dependent manner under both *in vitro* (Yamasaki et al., 1999) and *in vivo* (Vanin et al., 2004) condition. The possibility that NOS could catalyze NO synthesis in plants has also been a main controversial issue. Experimental evidence further increased suspicion about the existence of a plant NOS-like enzyme. It was reported that the L-citrulline based assay commonly used to measure a NOS activity in plant extracts is prone to artefacts (Tischner et al., 2007).

Several methods have been reported for nitric oxide assay in plants which includes gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (Neil et al., 2003; Conarth et al., 2004, Bethke et al., 2004), laser photo-acoustic spectroscopy (Lesham and Pinchasov, 2000), NO electrode (Yamasaki et al., 2001), electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) (Sun et al., 2018) and a group of fluorescent dye indicators which are available in acetylated form for intracellular measurements like Diaminofluorescein-FM (DAF-FM) (Du et al., 2016). Fluorescent dye indicator and EPR both are highly specific for NO. EPR is limited by inability to detect low level NO production and insolubility of chelating agent. Use of fluorogenic probe DAF-FM is gaining more importance because of their simplicity, high sensitivity towards NO and are essentially independent of pH above pH 5.5. This probe is membrane-permeant and deacylated by intracellular esterases to 4-amino-5-methylamino-2 V, 7 V-difluorofluorescein. Presence of light leads to autoxidation of Diaminofluorescein-FM (DAF-FM) dye and simultaneous presence of NO and superoxide source (like xanthine + xanthine oxidase) decreases the fluorescence of Diaminofluorescein-FM (DAF-FM), resulting in underestimation of nitric oxide production (Balcerczyk et al., 2005). This limits the use of Diaminofluorescein-FM (DAF-FM) in stress-related study.

The activity of NOS can be measured by L-citrulline based assay (Tischner et al., 2007) and spectroscopic method (NADPH utilization method) (Gonzalez et al., 2012). Citrulline-based assay measures the formation of L-citrulline from L-arginine using ion exchange chromatography. The assay does not exactly quantify citrulline; any arginine derivative that does not bind to the cation exchange resin will give a signal and leads to false measurement and also involve radiolabelling which may be tedious to handle (Tischner et al., 2007). Spectrophotometric measurement of NOS activity has been widely regarded as a less expensive and sufficiently sensitive assay for routine laboratorial experiments.

Nitrate reductase activity can be measured by an *in vitro* or an easy to perform *in vivo* method (Nair and Abrol, 1973). We have used these protocols to study the effect of elevated CO₂ (EC) and nitrate supply on nitrogen metabolism in wheat seedlings (Adavi and Sathee, 2019). S-nitrosylation of NR by EC induced NO produced in plants supplied with high nitrate concentration decreases the enzyme activity (Cheng et al., 2015; Du et al., 2016).
Materials and Reagents

1. 50 ml glass culture tubes without rim (Borosil, catalog number: 9820U08)
2. Scalpel Blade No.10 (Himedia, catalog number: LA76808)
3. Paint Brush (Faber-Castell, size-2)
4. Glass slide (Himedia, catalog number: LA76808) and cover slip (Himedia, catalog number: GW064)
5. Needle (Himedia)
6. Kimwipes®
7. Moist filter paper
8. Butter paper bags
9. Wheat seed
10. Double distilled water
11. 2-(4-carboxy phenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl imidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO, Sigma-Aldrich, 200 µM, catalog number: C221)
12. Diaminofluorescein-FM (DAF-FM, Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: D1821)
13. HEPES (Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: RDD002)
14. Potassium nitrate (KNO₃) (Fischer Scientific, catalog number:13655)
15. N-nitro arginine methyl ester (L-NAME, Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: N5751)
16. Phosphate buffer 200 mM pH 7.5
17. Sodium nitroprusside (SNP, Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: BP453)
18. Sodium tungstate (Na-Tungstate, Sigma-Aldrich, catalog number: 14304)
19. NEDD (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 61166)
20. N-propanol
21. Ammonium Sulfonate (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 62419)
22. HgCl₂ (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 25699)
23. Sulfanilamide (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number:19689)
24. Ferric-EDTA (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog no 59389)
25. Assay buffer (Phosphate buffer, 100 mM, pH 7.0)
26. L-Arginine (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 66637)
27. MgCl₂ (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 69396)
28. CaCl₂ (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 70650)
29. BH₄ ((6R)-5,6,7,8-Tetrahydrobiopterin dihydrochloride, Sigma-Aldrich, 100 µM, catalog number: 14304)
30. FAD (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 87939)
31. FMN (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 57443)
32. DTT (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 84834)
33. PMSF (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 87606)
34. NADPH (Sisco Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: 77268)
35. Bradford reagent (Genetix Biotech Asia Pvt. Ltd, catalog number: E530-1L)
36. 0.25% HgCl2 in 0.1 N HCl
37. 7% sulfanilamide in 1 N HCl
38. 100 µM Sodium nitroprusside (prepared in double distilled water)
39. 100 µM Sodium tungstate (prepared in double distilled water)
40. 0.1% NEDD (prepared in double distilled water)
41. 0.5% Ammonium sulfonate (prepared in double distilled water)
42. 0.2 mM NADPH (prepared in double distilled water)
43. Nitrogen free Hoagland solution (see Recipes)
44. NOS extraction buffer (see Recipes)
45. S-nitrosothiol extraction buffer (see Recipes)
46. HEPES-KOH with pH 7.5 (see Recipes)

**Equipment**

1. Growth chamber (Conviron, Winnipeg, Canada, model: PGW 36,)
2. Watch glass (Himedia, catalog number: LA025)
3. Mortar and pestle
4. Fluorescence microscope (Zeiss AXIOSKOP 2)
5. UV-visible spectrophotometer (Analytik Jena, Germany, model: Specord Bio-200)
6. Refrigerated centrifuge (Sigma 3K30)
7. Aerator Pump
8. Water bath

**Software**

2. MS Excel
3. SPSS 10.0

**Procedure**

A. Plant growth
   1. Pre-soak the wheat seeds in Petri plates lined with moist filter paper till they germinate for 5 days.
   2. Transfer the uniforms seedlings to 50 ml culture tubes containing nitrogen-free Hoagland solution (Recipe 1). Replenish the Hoagland solution every 3 days (Figure 1). The whole experiment was laid out at National Phytotron Facility, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi in growth chambers (Model PGW 36, Conviron, Winnipeg, Canada).
Growth condition:
Temperature: 25 °C/18 °C (day/night)
Photoperiod: 14 h N/10 h D
Photon flux density: 500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (PAR)
Relative humidity (RH): 90%
CO₂ concentration: (i) 400 ± 50 µl/l as ambient CO₂ (AC)
(ii) 750 ± 50 µl/l as elevated CO₂ (EC)

B. Treatments
1. After 10 days of transfer, replenish the culture tubes with different set of treatments as mentioned in Table 1. Maintain 3 replication for each treatment.
2. Cover the mouth of test tubes with aluminum foil to avoid effect of volatile chemicals on neighbouring plants (Figure 1). Sodium nitroprusside (SNP), a source of NO; cPTIO, an effective NO scavenger-NAME an inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase, and Na-Tungstate, an inhibitor of NR can be used to understand the impact of No on regulation of NR activity. Detailed description on impact of EC on NO localization and NR activity in combination with SNP and inhibitors are discussed in Adavi and Sathee (2019).

Table 1. Treatment details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low N (0.05 mM KNO₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>High N (5 mM KNO₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low N (0.05 mM KNO₃) + SNP (100 µM) Sodium nitroprusside (SNP) NO donor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>High N (5 mM KNO₃) + cPTIO (200 µM) 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl imidazoline-1-oxyl-3-oxide (cPTIO) scavenger of nitric oxide and reacts with nitric oxide to form carboxy-PTI derivatives which inhibits nitric oxide synthase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>High N (5 mM KNO₃) + L-NAME (400 µM) N-nitro arginine methyl ester (L-NAME), inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>High N (5 mM KNO₃) + Na-Tungstate (100 µM) Na-Tungstate, inhibitor of nitrate reductase.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Representative image of plants grown in 50 ml culture tubes. Each treatment consisted of three tubes with 2 plants per tube.

C. Visualization of NO using fluorescent microscope

1. After 4 h of treatment, harvest the plants. Cut the root tips into small pieces measuring approximately 2 mm using surgical blade. Then immerse the root sections in the Diaminofluorescein-FM dye (5 µM DAF-FM in 20 mM HEPES-KOH with pH 7.5) in a watch glass for 30 min. After 30 min, carefully take out the root sections and wash with HEPES-KOH buffer without dye 2-3 times till the excess dye is removed.

2. Transfer the root sections into glass slide carefully with help of paint brush and cover with cover glass. Drain out excess buffer with help of Kim Wipes.

3. Visualize the slides under a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss AXIOSKOP 2) at 495 nm excitation and 515 nm emission wavelengths and acquire the images (Figure 2).

4. Analyze the image and calculate the relative fluorescence with “Image J,” a Java-based image processing program.

5. Set scale bar on the image using “Image J”.


D. Estimation of Nitrate Reductase (NR) activity

1. Follow the same procedure for plant growth and treatments as mentioned (Procedures A and B) above. Harvest the plants after 4 h of treatment.

2. Estimate in vivo nitrate reductase activity by estimating nitrite formed by the enzyme present in cells and nitrite formed is then diazotized using sulphanilamide in acidic medium and NEDD using the method described by Klepper et al. (1971) and modified by Nair and Abrol (1973). Estimate the nitrite amount using Evans and Nason method (1953).

3. Harvest the plants and store in labeled butter paper bags and keep on ice until weighing. Cut the samples (leaves and roots) into 2 mm pieces and mix thoroughly, weigh 0.3 g and add to ice cold incubation medium containing 3 ml each of phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 7.5) and potassium nitrate solution (0.4 M). To this, add 0.2 ml of n-propanol.

4. Vacuum infiltrate the samples using a pump for 2 min (80-85KPa) and then incubate in a water bath at 30 °C for 30 min under dark conditions.

5. After incubation, place the tubes in a water bath (70-80 °C) for 3-4 min to stop the enzyme activity and for the complete leaching of nitrite into the medium.

6. Estimate the amount of nitrite produced by taking adequate amount of aliquot (0.2 ml) in a test tube; to it add 1 ml of sulphanalimide (1% in 1 N HCl). After mixing, add 1 ml NEDD (0.02%) and again mix well. Pink color is formed immediately, and after 20 min make the total volume up to 3 ml with double distilled water.

7. Measure the absorbance using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (model Specord Bio-200) at 540 nm.

8. Prepare the calibration curve using standard potassium nitrite solution.

9. Express the enzyme activity as µmol nitrite formed g⁻¹ DW h⁻¹.
E. Estimation of Nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity

1. Follow the same procedure for plant growth and treatments as mentioned (Procedures A and B) above. Harvest the plants after 4 h of treatment.

2. Homogenize 0.5 g of tissue (leaf and root) samples in 5 ml of cold extraction buffer in pre-chilled mortar and pestle. Centrifuge the homogenate at 10,000 x g for 15 min at 4 °C (Hageman and Hucklesby, 1971). Collect the supernatant to carry out enzyme assays. Determine the protein content of the supernatant by following Bradford’ method (1976).

3. Determine the activity of NOS in the reaction mixture (Gonzalez et al., 2012) containing assay buffer (100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0), 1 mM L-Arginine, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.3 mM CaCl₂, 4 μM BH₄, 1 μM FAD, 1 μM FMN, 0.2 mM DTT, 0.2 mM NADPH with 100 μl of tissue extract.

4. Observe the change in absorbance due to NADPH utilization at 340 nm for 1 min. Reference was set using reaction mixtures containing distilled water instead of enzyme extract. Three replications of positive control with reaction mixture without L-Arginine was also maintained in each treatment. Use the extinction coefficient of NADPH (ε = 6.22 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for calculating NOS activity.

F. Estimation of S-nitrosothiols

1. Formation of S-nitrosothiols is estimated from leaf and root tissues (Arc et al., 2013). All the steps need to be performed in dark condition. Follow the same procedure for plant growth and treatments as mentioned (Procedures A and B) above.

2. Homogenize 0.5 g of tissue (leaf and root) samples in 5 ml of cold s-nitrosothiols extraction buffer (Recipe 3) in pre-chilled mortar and pestle. Centrifuge the extract at 13,800 x g for 25 min at 4 °C.

3. Mix the supernatant with 50 µl ammonium sulfonate and incubate at room temperature for 2 min. Then add 0.3 ml each of sulfanilamide, HgCl₂ and NEDD to the reaction mixture. Keep the reaction mixture under dark condition for 20 min at 30 °C.

4. Measure the absorbance using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (model Specord Bio-200) at 540 nm.

Data analysis

MS Excel is used for calculations and also to plot the graphs. The least significant difference (LSD at 0.05%) and mean separation using Duncan’s multiple range test was computed by SPSS 10.0. Plants exposed to EC conditions displayed higher accumulation of NO in NOS dependent manner and further details are described in Adavi and Sathee (2019).
Notes

1. Care should be taken to avoid exposure of DAF-FM dye to light, as the dye is light sensitive and may lead to auto-oxidation and false fluorescence.
2. Culture tube mouth should be properly covered with cotton and aluminium foil to avoid effect of volatile chemicals on neighbouring plants.
3. Care should be taken to avoid any damage to root sections while washing or transferring them to slides.
4. During measurement of NR, glasswares should be cleaned properly, rinsed with distilled water and air dried to avoid false color development.

Recipes

1. Nitrogen free Hoagland Solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Macronutrient</th>
<th>Molarity (M)</th>
<th>Quantity (ml/L of solution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl$_2$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH$_2$PO$_4$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micronutrient</th>
<th>Quantity (g/L of solution)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_3$BO$_3$</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnCl$_2$·4H$_2$O</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add micronutrient solution and 0.5% Ferric-EDTA solution (1 ml each) to one liter of solution of macronutrient and adjust the pH to 6.5 prior to use.

2. NOS extraction buffer

- Tris-HCl buffer, 50 mM pH 7.5
- 10 mM MgCl$_2$
- 1 mM DTT
- 1 mM PMSF

3. S-nitrosothiol extraction buffer

- 25 mM HEPES-NaOH
- 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.8

4. HEPES-KOH with pH 7.5

- 20 mM HEPES was prepared in double distilled water and pH was adjusted to 7.5 with KOH
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